



**International Journal of Multidisciplinary
and Scientific Emerging Research (IJMSERH)**

Volume 14, Issue 1, January - March 2026

Impact Factor: 9.274



A Study on Organizational Climate and Its Impact on Employee Performance at NTPC

B. Naveen Kumar, Ch. Kishore Kumar

Assistant Professor, Department of MBA, CMR Technical Campus, Hyderabad, India

Assistant Professor, Department of MBA, CMR Technical Campus, Hyderabad, India

ABSTRACT: This study examines the organizational climate at NTPC and its influence on employee performance and job satisfaction. Using a structured questionnaire administered to 100 employees, the research analyzes key dimensions such as communication, leadership, recognition, autonomy, trust, and work environment. Statistical tools including descriptive analysis, correlation, and regression were applied. The findings reveal a significant positive relationship between organizational climate, employee performance, and job satisfaction. The study concludes that improving leadership practices, communication systems, and recognition mechanisms can enhance organizational effectiveness.

KEYWORDS: Organizational Climate, Employee Performance, Job Satisfaction, Leadership, Communication, Recognition, Work Environment, NTPC, Employee Motivation, Organizational Effectiveness

I. INTRODUCTION

Organizational climate refers to the shared perceptions of employees about an organization's policies, practices, leadership style, and overall work environment, and it plays a crucial role in influencing their attitudes, motivation, performance, and commitment. In large public sector enterprises like NTPC, which hold strategic importance in India's energy sector, a positive organizational climate is essential for ensuring employee satisfaction, efficiency, and long-term sustainability. A supportive and transparent climate promotes trust, open communication, teamwork, and recognition, thereby enhancing job satisfaction, creativity, and innovation, which are vital for adapting to technological and environmental challenges. When employees feel valued and involved in decision-making, they develop a stronger sense of belonging and responsibility toward organizational goals, leading to higher productivity and reduced turnover. Conversely, a negative climate characterized by poor leadership, lack of recognition, and ineffective communication can result in dissatisfaction, stress, low morale, and declining performance. Therefore, understanding and improving the organizational climate in NTPC is necessary not only for strengthening workforce efficiency and well-being but also for ensuring organizational stability, sustainable development, and effective contribution to national growth.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Previous studies highlight the significant role of organizational climate in shaping employee attitudes and outcomes. al. (2025) emphasized that a trauma-informed climate helps reduce employee burnout, while Zahid (2024) found that a positive organizational climate is associated with lower deviant behavior. Wang (2024) linked responsible leadership with the climate that enhances employee performance. Similarly, Zafar (2024) and Yang (2024) demonstrated that a green organizational climate encourages pro- and energy-saving behaviors among employees. Badru (2024) showed that an engagement-oriented climate promotes employee voice, whereas Mumtaz (2024) highlighted the importance of organizational climate readiness for change. In addition, Badar (2024) identified employee relations climate as a key mediator in improving performance, Zhang (2024) associated innovation climate with employee creativity, and Shang (2024) emphasized the role of service climate in enhancing employee satisfaction. Collectively, these studies confirm that organizational climate plays a crucial role in influencing employee behavior, attitudes, and overall performance.

III. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

- To identify factors affecting organizational climate.
- To analyze the impact of climate on employee performance.
- To study the relationship between climate and job satisfaction.
- To assess employee perceptions of workplace environment.
- To suggest measures for improvement.

IV. HYPOTHESES

- H0: Organizational climate has no significant impact on employee performance.
- H1: Organizational climate has a significant impact on employee performance.
- H0: There is no significant relationship between organizational climate and job satisfaction.
- H1: There is a significant relationship between organizational climate and job satisfaction.

V. RESEARCH DESIGN

The present study adopts a quantitative research design to examine the impact of organizational climate on employee performance and job satisfaction. The independent variable in this study is organizational climate, which refers to the overall work environment, culture, and conditions prevailing within the organization that may influence employee attitudes and behaviors. The dependent variables are employee performance and job satisfaction. Employee performance is defined as the efficiency and effectiveness with which employees execute their assigned tasks, while job satisfaction reflects the degree to which employees feel fulfilled, motivated, and content with their roles. Data for this study will be collected from both primary and secondary sources. Primary data will be gathered using a structured questionnaire survey distributed to employees, which includes Likert-scale items and demographic questions aimed at measuring their perceptions of organizational climate, performance, and satisfaction. Secondary data will be collected from credible journals, books, and websites to provide a comprehensive review of existing literature and theoretical insights that support the research objectives.

The study will involve a sample of 100 employees, selected using a combination of purposive and convenience sampling techniques. Purposive sampling ensures that respondents possess adequate knowledge and experience regarding the organizational environment, while convenience sampling facilitates access to employees who are available and willing to participate in the study. For data analysis, descriptive statistics will be used to summarize and present the data through measures such as mean, standard deviation, and frequency distribution. Correlation analysis will be employed to explore the strength and direction of the relationship between organizational climate and the dependent variables, while regression analysis will assess the predictive influence of organizational climate on employee performance and job satisfaction. All statistical computations and analyses will be performed using Microsoft Excel and SPSS, ensuring accuracy and reliability of results. This research design enables a structured, systematic, and empirical investigation of how the organizational climate shapes employee outcomes, providing valuable insights for both academic understanding and practical organizational management.

VI. Data Analysis and Interpretation

Table 1: Communication Flow

Category	Frequency	Percentage
Very Effective	20	20%
Somewhat Effective	23	23%
Ineffective	36	36%
Completely Unclear	21	21%

Interpretation: Majority perceived communication as ineffective, indicating the need for improvement.

Table 2: Work Environment

Category	Frequency	Percentage
Highly Supportive	35	35%
Moderately Supportive	24	24%
Neutral	26	26%
Unsupportive	15	15%

Interpretation: Most employees experienced a supportive environment, though a significant minority reported dissatisfaction.

Table 3: Regression Results

Path	Beta	t-value	p-value
Climate → Performance	0.66	18.36	0.00

R² = 0.41

Interpretation: Organizational climate significantly predicts employee performance.

Table 4: Correlation Results

Variables	Correlation
Climate & Job Satisfaction	0.82*

Interpretation: Strong positive relationship exists between climate and job satisfaction.

VII. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results indicate that communication gaps, autocratic leadership, and limited autonomy negatively affect employee perceptions. However, recognition, supportive environment, and transparency positively influence motivation. Regression analysis confirms that organizational climate explains 41% of variance in performance, while correlation shows strong association with job satisfaction. These findings align with previous studies emphasizing the role of leadership and communication in shaping employee outcomes.

VIII. FINDINGS

- Communication systems are inadequate.
- Leadership is largely autocratic.
- Recognition practices are inconsistent.
- Autonomy levels are low.
- Organizational climate significantly influences performance and satisfaction.

IX. RECOMMENDATIONS

To enhance overall employee satisfaction and organizational effectiveness, the organization can focus on several key strategies:

- Enhance internal communication channels: Ensure that information flows clearly and transparently across all levels, improving collaboration and reducing misunderstandings.
- Promote participative leadership: Involve employees in decision-making processes to foster ownership, engagement, and a sense of contribution.
- Implement systematic recognition programs: Consistently acknowledge and reward employees' achievements to boost morale and motivation.
- Improve physical working conditions: Create a safe, comfortable, and conducive work environment that supports well-being and productivity.
- Offer flexible work arrangements: Provide options such as remote work, flexible hours, or compressed schedules to support work-life balance.
- Increase employee autonomy: Empower staff with greater responsibility and decision-making freedom to encourage initiative and innovation.

Together, these measures can create a more engaged, motivated, and productive workforce, contributing to long-term organizational success.

X. CONCLUSION

The study concludes that organizational climate at NTPC plays a vital role in determining employee performance and job satisfaction. A positive climate enhances motivation, engagement, and productivity, whereas negative perceptions

hinder effectiveness. Management interventions focusing on leadership, communication, and empowerment are essential for sustaining organizational growth. Continuous evaluation and improvement of climate dimensions will enable NTPC to maintain workforce excellence and operational efficiency.

REFERENCES

1. ADINEW, Y. (2024). A COMPARATIVE STUDY ON MOTIVATIONAL STRATEGIES. CURRENT PSYCHOLOGY, 43(13) , 11470–11492. [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.1007/S12144-023-04521-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-023-04521-9)
2. BADAR, K. (2024). EMPLOYEE RELATIONS CLIMATE. JOURNAL OF ISLAMIC ACCOUNTING AND BUSINESS RESEARCH, 15(8), 1205–1221. [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.1108/JIABR-02-2023-0067](https://doi.org/10.1108/JIABR-02-2023-0067)
3. BADRU, A. F. (2024). EMPLOYEE VOICE. SERVICE INDUSTRIES JOURNAL, 44(7), 563–591 . [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.1080/02642069.2023.2198457](https://doi.org/10.1080/02642069.2023.2198457)
4. CAI, H. (2024). ETHICAL CLIMATE AND WHISTLEBLOWING. BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES, 14(4) . [HTTPS://WWW.MDPI.COM/2076-328X/14/4/278](https://www.mdpi.com/2076-328X/14/4/278)
5. CHIGBU, B. I. (2024). ORGANIZATIONAL CLIMATE AND HEALTH. HEALTH SA GESONDHEID, 29 . [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.4102/HSAG.V29I0.2357](https://doi.org/10.4102/hsag.v29i0.2357)
6. EHRHART, M. G., ET AL. (2013). ORGANIZATIONAL CLIMATE AND CULTURE. ROUTLEDGE. [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.4324/9780203067198](https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203067198)
7. HEADLEY, A. M. (2025). TRAUMA-INFORMED CLIMATE. PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION REVIEW, 85(1), 144–164. [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.1111/PUAR.13765](https://doi.org/10.1111/PUAR.13765)
8. KIM, T. Y. (2024). INNOVATIVE CLIMATE. RESEARCH POLICY, 53(5). [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.1016/J.RESPOL.2024.104931](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2024.104931)
9. LINTANGA, A. J. (2024). PSYCHOSOCIAL SAFETY CLIMATE. BMC PSYCHOLOGY, 12(1). [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.1186/S40359-024-01527-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-024-01527-3)
10. LO, Y. C. (2024). ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT. HELIYON, 10(2). [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.1016/J.HELIYON.2024.E24568](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e24568)
11. MUMTAZ, S. (2024). READINESS TO CHANGE. GLOBAL BUSINESS AND ORGANIZATIONAL EXCELLENCE, 43(2), 79–91. [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.1002/JOE.22112](https://doi.org/10.1002/joe.22112)
12. PROBST, T. M. (2024). LEARNING CLIMATE. ECONOMIC AND INDUSTRIAL DEMOCRACY, 45(2), 304–334. [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.1177/0143831X221145678](https://doi.org/10.1177/0143831X221145678)
13. RIOFRÍO, M. I. (2024). ORGANIZATIONAL CLIMATE IN CONSTRUCTION. ADMINISTRATIVE SCIENCES, 14(3). [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.3390/ADMSCI14030055](https://doi.org/10.3390/ADMSCI14030055)
14. SHANG, K. C. (2024). SERVICE CLIMATE. RESEARCH IN TRANSPORTATION BUSINESS AND MANAGEMENT, 52. [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.1016/J.RTBM.2024.101002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rtbm.2024.101002)
15. SONG, J. (2024). ORGANIZATIONAL JUSTICE. BMC NURSING, 23(1). [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.1186/S12912-024-01621-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-024-01621-5)
16. SU, L. (2024). INTERPERSONAL CLIMATE. JOURNAL OF HOSPITALITY AND TOURISM MANAGEMENT, 58, 419–431. [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.1016/J.JHTM.2023.12.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhtm.2023.12.014)
17. WANG, Z. (2024). RESPONSIBLE LEADERSHIP. IJCHM, 36(6), 1944–1962. [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.1108/IJCHM-07-2023-0921](https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-07-2023-0921)
18. YANG, S. (2024). GREEN CLIMATE. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REVIEW, 105 . [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.1016/J.EIAR.2024.107354](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2024.107354)
19. ZAFAR, H. (2024). GREEN HRM. ORGANIZATION & ENVIRONMENT, 37(4), 581–609 . [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.1177/10860266231124598](https://doi.org/10.1177/10860266231124598)
20. ZAHID, A. (2024). WORKPLACE INCIVILITY. PERSONNEL REVIEW, 53(1), 247–265. [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.1108/PR-06-2022-0412](https://doi.org/10.1108/PR-06-2022-0412)
21. ZHANG, G. (2024). INNOVATION CLIMATE. EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF INNOVATION MANAGEMENT, 27(2), 589–607 . [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.1108/EJIM-09-2022-0491](https://doi.org/10.1108/EJIM-09-2022-0491)



INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INDIA



International Journal of Multidisciplinary and Scientific Emerging Research (IJMSERH)

Impact Factor: 9.274